

FROM SILK ROADS TO BELT AND ROADS: REVIVING ANCIENT TRADE ROUTES IN THE MODERN ERA

MURAD YUNSURZADA

Khazar University, master student

E-mail: yunsurzade77@gmail.com

Introduction: The Silk Road's Historical Legacy

The Silk Road, a network of ancient trade routes covering Eurasia, left an indelible mark on world history. It stretched from China to the Mediterranean and dates back to China's Han Dynasty (206 BCE–220 CE). The name "Silk Road" was originally given by the German geographer Ferdinand von Richthofen in the late 19th century to the trade routes linking the East and the West. The roads not only enabled the trade of goods like silk, spices, and metals, but also ideas, art, and culture, and established long-term relationships between different civilizations of Eurasia [8]. The Silk Road was not a road in the contemporary sense, but a series of roads that twisted through mountain ranges, deserts, and plateaus, linking the ancient civilizations of China, India, Persia, the Middle East, and Europe.

Merchandise flowed both ways, east and west: silk east from China, spices east from India, glass and other merchandise west from the Mediterranean, and precious metals and other merchandise later flowed both ways. Along with material goods, ideas—Buddhism, Islam, and such technology as papermaking and printing—flowed along the road, exerting significant influences on the cultural life of the nations covered [8]. The Silk Road's legacy is not merely physical commerce. It shaped the geopolitical and cultural shape of Asia, facilitating the rise of great empires and the sharing of knowledge.

One way or another, the routes served as a channel of cross-cultural intercourse, a bridge between the East and the West in a way that heralded the current age of globalization. Through these encounters, the Eurasian continent's cultures were in a position to share innovations in medicine, astronomy, art, and philosophy, enriching one another in ways that would have otherwise been impossible because of the exchange of ideas and people along these routes. The significance of the Silk Road in world history cannot be overstated. It played a critical role in shaping the process of ancient history and setting the foundation for the highly interconnected world of today. The exchange of commodities, human beings,

and ideas along these corridors not only transformed the societies at both ends but also integrated and interdependent made the world.

Through the Silk Road, the ancient world became linked in one common journey of trade, culture, and interchange [8].

The Decline of the Traditional Silk Road

The decline of the ancient Silk Road, once a prosperous and valuable network of trade routes, was a complicated and protracted process. Although the Silk Road started as an effective and valuable conduit for cultural, economic, and intellectual exchange, a succession of political, environmental, and technological advancements throughout history caused its eventual downfall. At its peak, the Silk Road connected vast portions of Eurasia, tying China and India to the Middle East, Persia, and Europe. During the late medieval and early modern periods, however, several factors converged to disrupt the open movement of goods, people, and ideas along the traditional routes [4]. One source of the disruption was the shifting political landscape of Eurasia.

The Mongol Empire, which had unified the majority of Central Asia and provided a safe environment for trade under the Pax Mongolica, began to dissolve in the 14th century. After the death of Timur (Tamerlane) and the weakening of Mongol authority, the once centralized government gave way to a series of regional conflicts. As the Mongol Empire dissolved, regional empires, such as the Ottoman, Safavid, and Ming Empires, sought to monopolize trade routes in their domains, which created growing instability along the Silk Road. The rise of these new empires often meant that internal governance and territorial conquest became higher priorities than the lucrative trade that had once defined the region. This fragmentation, in addition to military wars and border disputes, destabilized the previously secure trade routes and disrupted the stability required for long-distance trade [8]. Meanwhile, environmental and geographical concerns began to take their toll on the Silk Road. The vast

deserts, such as the Taklamakan, and severe mountain ranges, such as the Tian Shan, had always posed great challenges for traders, but slowly these natural barriers became even more daunting.

As the environmental situation continues to degrade, exacerbated by shifting climatic patterns, roads and caravanserais (rest houses for travelers) fell into disrepair, rendering the difficult journey ever more treacherous. Attributable to the tricky landscape, in addition to the steady degradation of infrastructure, the Silk Road became further reduced as a practical means of trade. In addition to this, Central Asia was transformed into a cockpit of rival powers, and the region's agricultural productivity began to decline because of desertification and war. The failure of the region to present a stable economic basis for exchange led to a decline in the maintenance of caravan routes and infrastructure that had played a crucial role in facilitating long-distance trade.[10] In the face of such instability, maritime trade routes began to gain increasing prominence. The success of European explorers, particularly Vasco da Gama, in establishing sea routes to Asia in the late 15th century provided an alternative to the overland trade routes of the Silk Road. The Portuguese and later other European powers, the Dutch and British, established extensive maritime trade networks connecting Europe to India, Southeast Asia, and China that bypassed the overland Silk Road completely.

These new sea routes, with their prospects for faster and more efficient carriage of goods, rendered the use of the Silk Road, with its political instability and natural difficulty, less necessary. The sea routes also offered the hope of larger loads of goods, further reducing the utility of the Silk Road.[10] As the European countries accelerated their colonial ventures, in the shape of trading posts and colonies, the demand for luxury goods such as spices and silk began to transform. The establishment of local industries in Europe, such as the production of silk in France and Italy, and the ability to produce porcelain and other goods in the European colonies, reduced the demand for these goods from Asia. By the 17th century, the European colonial empires had risen, and the flow of goods had moved to new worldwide trade networks. Silver, especially from the Americas, was now a key commodity in world trade, and the demand for the luxury items that had previously been traded on the Silk Road started to decline.

This shift in demand, combined with the increased reliance on maritime trade, led to a decline in the volume of goods moving along the traditional land routes.[8] By the 17th and 18th centuries, the once-thriving Silk Road had lost its central role in global trade. While restricted trade continued along parts of the route, particularly in regions near the Persian Gulf and Central Asia, the overland trade routes were no longer the main East-West thoroughfares of trade. The establishment of maritime trade routes, the degradation of regional political stability, and the economic alignments to the European colonial empires were all factors in the decline of the Silk Road as a key mechanism of international trade.[10] While the ancient Silk Road fell into disuse, its influence persisted. It was not only the exchange of goods that made the Silk Road so important, but also the cultural, religious, and intellectual exchanges that took place along its routes.

The Silk Road was responsible for the spread of Buddhism, Islam, and other significant ideas across Eurasia. Technological innovation, such as paper and print making, also followed these routes, leaving an indelible mark on both sides of the civilizations of the world. The ancient routes are no longer employed as primary mediums for trade, but cultural and intellectual exchanges facilitated through the Silk Road continue to shape our world today [8][10].

The Emergence of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)

Chinese President Xi Jinping launched the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) in 2013, which now represents one of the largest-scale development plans ever undertaken in the history of the world. In origins dating back to ancient times of the Silk Road, BRI seeks to recreate transcontinental connectivity by building connectivity in roads, railways, ports, and cyberspace infrastructure. The BRI stretches from Asia to Europe and Africa, and it is a massive endeavor aimed at facilitating international trade, economic cooperation, and cultural exchange [11] [6]. The BRI's major goals include improving infrastructure between these vast areas and setting up new economic corridors and trade corridors for stimulating growth.

As one of the key pillars of China's foreign policy, it also attempts to stimulate the economic regions that were previously connected by the an-

cient Silk Road. In doing so, it generates economic opportunities for China and the countries along the BRI corridors. Through encouraging trade, raising global integration, and building balanced mutual benefit associations, the BRI will fuel economic growth, particularly in low-income areas far from the mainstream international economy [11][6]. China possesses various reasons why it prefers using the BRI. Internally, China suffers from a slowdown and structural reform.

The BRI presents a solution through the opening up of new Chinese investment and markets for goods, and by redressing the overcapacity issue in certain industries (Huang, 2016).

Second, the BRI also offers China an opportunity to enhance its global stature, adding to the revitalization of the international economic structure. This is especially relevant as China seeks to become a leader in global development of infrastructure and a powerful force in regional security and economic governance [6]. The BRI not only involves hard infrastructure investments but also attempts to make financial cooperation, trade facilitation, and people-to-people connectivity more convenient, so that it provides a platform for global economic cooperation in general [11]. The BRI, despite the challenges faced, such as geopolitical rivalries and the economic feasibility of massive projects, can reconfigure international trade flows and act as necessary connectivity between the world market and developing regions.

Comparing the Silk Road and the BRI: Commonalities and Differences

Historically, the old Silk Road and the modern-day Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) have similar underlying aims: maximizing interconnectedness, enhancing trade, and enhancing cultural exchange between vast and diverse regions.

Even though both waves aim at filling the economic as well as cultural chasms separating East and West, their scope, implementation, and geopolitics diverge significantly with shifts in world politics, economy, and technology across several centuries. Putting them side by side, we can perceive more distinctly not just their resemblance but also the various challenges and opportunities of the BRI in 21st-century language. Both the ancient Silk Road and the BRI, at their core, were envisioned to facilitate the exchange of goods, ideas, and cultures

between East and West.

The ancient Silk Road, flourishing in the Han Dynasty through to the Mongol Empire, was a network of routes that traveled both by sea and land, connecting China, India, Central Asia, the Middle East, and Europe. Goods such as silk, spices, gems, and metals were exchanged, but equally important were the cultural and intellectual exchanges occurring along the routes. For instance, Buddhism was spread from India to China, and some of the technological advancements, such as paper production and gunpowder, were exported from Asia to Europe. Likewise, the BRI, started by China in 2013, seeks to re-integrate the extensive area of Asia, Europe, and Africa by creating physical infrastructure, online connectivity, and broader economic coordination. The BRI emphasizes the development of new trade routes, railways, ports, and digital channels that reflect the ancient networks in purpose and potential scope [4] [10]. Culturally, both the Silk Road and the BRI aim to promote people-to-people contacts. While the Silk Road promoted the spread of religious beliefs, art forms, and languages, the BRI also emphasizes the exchange of knowledge, educational cooperation, and cultural exchange.

Through BRI cooperation, China not only seeks to deepen economic ties but also foster mutual understanding and cooperation between the regions involved. For example, the introduction of cultural exchange programs, cooperative education programs, and the establishment of research centers in countries that are along the BRI corridor reflect the cross-cultural fertilization that characterized the ancient Silk Road [10]. However, although they have common goals, the difference between the original Silk Road and the BRI becomes evident once we look at the scale, execution, and aims of the two initiatives. The largest difference is in the scale and nature of the projects embarked upon. The ancient Silk Road was a byproduct of spontaneous trade practices encouraged by local traders, kingdoms, and empires.

It evolved where it could be of most value, and it was spurred by the rivalry of different regional powers that controlled strategic centers along the way. The roads were less structured, and the movement of goods and ideas was dictated by local economic and political circumstances. Conversely, the BRI is a highly structured, state-led project de-

signed and financed largely by China. Rather than an organic web of centuries of commercial development, the BRI is a modern geopolitical project with a clear vision: to integrate the participating regions into a more connected global economy. It involves gigantic infrastructure projects such as railways, highways, ports, and airports, and digital infrastructure to enhance global connectivity. The BRI also spurs the creation of economic zones and industrial corridors, which makes it exponentially more systematic and ambitious than the ancient Silk Road.

For instance, the BRI's focus on creating high-speed rail networks and advanced ports is light-years away from the more primitive trade conduits of the ancient Silk Road [4][10]. Another key distinction is the BRI's technological dimension. Though primarily a physical trade route, the BRI integrates digital infrastructure as part of it. China aims to create a "Digital Silk Road" through investments in broadband networks, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence in countries along the BRI, thus facilitating not only physical commerce but also data and technology flow. This aspect of the BRI is a major deviation from the original Silk Road, which lacked something equivalent to the modern digital economy that currently affects international trade [4][11]. The geopolitical dimensions of the BRI also make it stand out from the ancient Silk Road.

The original Silk Road, though critical to trade and cultural exchange, was largely a creation of cooperation among multiple empires, with different empires like the Roman Empire, the Tang Dynasty, and the Mongols involved in its expansion and continuation. The BRI is China-driven and has been viewed by some critics as a strategic tool for China to expand on a global scale. While the Silk Road was a more decentralized system, the BRI brings economic and political relations under the umbrella of Chinese leadership. This has caused tensions regarding China's growing economic dominance, particularly in nations such as Central Asia, Africa, and Southeast Asia that have most nations highly reliant on Chinese investment. The economic mechanisms of the BRI, traditionally relying on loans and investments, have been questioned regarding the long-term viability of such projects and the threat of "debt traps" for debtor nations that would damage their sovereignty [4].

The BRI is economically intended to close the infrastructure gap in developing regions, particularly those of Asia, Africa, and parts of Europe, that have historically been avoided by the great trade routes. Unlike the historical Silk Road, which was primarily exchange between already established civilizations, the BRI is meant to provide infrastructure for the rise of emerging economies into the world's market. An example is the construction of railways and highways connecting landlocked regions like Central Asia: it is a proactive effort at solving the logistical issue that these nations face in getting to international markets. By doing that, the BRI will be able to spur economic growth, increase connectivity, and provide access to new markets for Chinese products. However, the initiative also has new economic challenges, most significantly under the theme of long-term sustainability of such massive investments and risk of unbalanced development among participant countries [4][8]. The second difference lies in regional integration approach. The BRI has also been seen by some as a bid to replace established global trade networks, particularly those controlled by the United States and Europe.

By advocating for bilateral agreements and large-scale investment in infrastructure, China is hoping to be in the middle of setting global trade flows. This as opposed to the more multilateral and historically decentralized nature of the Silk Road, where trade was shaped by several empires and powers, none of which is exercising the kind of influence that China is today [4].

Briefly, the Belt and Road Initiative and Silk Road share a common goal to enhance international trade, enhance intercultural exchange, and interconnect various geographical areas, though they also have gigantic differences in terms of scale, policy implementation, as well as geopolitical influence. The Silk Road was an unplanned, decentralized centuries-long commercial phenomenon, while the BRI is a state-led, modern attempt to re-create economic and political geographies across the world through massive infrastructural spending and digital networking. Whether the BRI grows, whether through better economic cooperation or enhanced economic relations, will be the measure of success as the initiative steers into a new direction in tackling the intricacies of world relations, economic development, and regional integration with the perspective of ma-

intaining the spirit of cooperation that defined the ancient Silk Road. The purpose of the BRI will, in due course, redefine world trade flows as well as the world economy's balance [1][7].

The Future of the BRI and Global Implications

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), launched by China in 2013, has immense potential to reshape international trade, politics, and development in Asia, Europe, and Africa. The long-term legacy of the initiative will largely depend on how it addresses a variety of challenges, including debt sustainability, geopolitical tensions, and environmental concerns. While it carries tremendous opportunities, particularly in terms of driving interconnectivity and creating economic activity in new regions, the success of the BRI will depend on the ability to navigate these complexities. If well managed, the BRI can bring back the spirit of the ancient Silk Road, ushering in a new era of global connectivity. One of the most significant areas where the BRI can be expected to make a transformative difference is in international trade.

By improving infrastructure through massive investment in railways, highways, ports, and airports, the project could dramatically cut down on trade barriers, especially for landlocked nations in Central Asia, Africa, and Southeast Asia.

These countries, which have frequently been cut off because of poor infrastructure, would greatly gain from better access to global markets. In addition, the BRI's focus on the integration of digital infrastructure will equally boost the exchange of goods, services, and data, as well as physical trade and the digital economy. This quest for increased connectivity will most likely reshape global supply chains and reduce transport costs, and ultimately drive economic growth in underdeveloped areas [4]. With the economic gains, however, come very significant geopolitical challenges about the BRI. As China is spearheading the initiative, some countries, particularly those in the West, are worried about China's growing global footprint.

The economic model of the BRI, particularly its funding through loans for infrastructure projects, has raised concerns that the participating countries will fall into "debt traps," leading to economic reliance on China. These are issues most relevant to the areas of Africa and South Asia, where several states are already confronted with debt sustainabi-

lity problems. The BRI also tends to raise geopolitical rivalries, particularly where Chinese economic presence can undercut other global interests, for instance, the U.S., Russia, and the European Union. This can be exacerbated and may even destabilize strategic areas.[8] Beneath all else, economic, political, and environmental issues have become central in determining the future of the BRI. The sweeping infrastructure works in the initiative pose unmitigated risks to the environment, including loss of forestland, destruction of habitats, as well as polluting water systems. Throughout the world, notably in Africa and Southeast Asia, environmental concerns continue to increase owing to increasing pressure for development. The construction of new energy infrastructure and transport corridors can get in the way of local environments, making the economic development-environmental balance even more difficult. As the BRI expands, it would be important to ensure that these are environmentally sound projects to mitigate their effect on the areas [4][9]. With all such challenges, the BRI presents a chance to revive the spirit of the ancient Silk Road, not just a road for merchandise commodities but also for the exchange of cultures, the spread of information, and communication of diplomacy. In a modern context, the BRI strives to achieve this by introducing cross-cultural collaboration, student and teacher exchanges, and a sense of understanding among BRI participating nations.

This cultural and intellectual exchange, combined with the flow of goods and technology, might make China and BRI corridor countries more closely connected, as the old Silk Road did centuries ago.[4][3] As the project continues, it is clear that its success will depend on how well it can address concerns about debt sustainability, geopolitical rivalry, and environmental impacts. The BRI is a vision for a more ambitious future of global trade, and if managed effectively, it may be utilized to create an increasingly integrated and prosperous world economy.

By promoting greater integration and cooperation, the BRI might be employed to revive the Silk Road spirit worldwide and support economic growth, cultural exchange, and regional development on an unprecedented scale [2][11].

Conclusion

Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is the recent attempt to bring back ancient heritage of Silk Route, a vision to resculpture world order in global business, political currents, and neighborhood prosperity. In denser connectivity between Europe, Asia, and Africa through pipes of behemoth infrastructures and cyber highways, BRI attempts to drive economic interlinkage, people-to-people exchange, and shared prosperity among numerous nations. But the ultimate victory of the BRI will depend on its ability to overcome a series of challenges, such as debt sustainability, geopolitics tensions, and environmental concerns. The geopolitics of the BRI is part of a larger redrawing of global power, and China is at the forefront of charting the future economic corridors.

While this is a fear of greater Chinese hegemony and dependency on the part of some countries, the BRI also provides the global south with necessary infrastructure and resources. The risk of debt trap and Chinese political will imposition will need to be alleviated so that the benefits of the BRI are shared equally among the participating countries. The BRI is both negative and positive economically to the environment. On the one hand, it will be in a state to ensure green development by extending infrastructure for development. On the other hand, mega-projects will lead to environmental disruption when badly planned and implemented. Economic growth versus environmental conservation will be the determinant of selecting the long-term sustainability of the BRI. Lastly, the BRI can renew the Silk Road spirit in the world by constructing a world network, fostering economic prosperity, and passing on ideas and cultures.

If properly fulfilled and in unison, the BRI would remake the world economic geography, a new world of cooperation recycling the interdependence and cultural communication so far characteristic of the ancient Silk Road.

The future of international trade, development, and global foreign policy will be left pending to a great extent based on how well this is accomplished.

REFERENCES:

1. *'The Belt & Road Initiative' – A modern day silk road* by Tom Luckock, Beijing, Hannah Logan and Amanda Montano, London – Nexus 2016
2. *China's Belt and Road Initiative: at the cross-*

roads of challenges and ambitions - Veysel Tekdal <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2017.1391864>

3. <https://www.gisreportsonline.com/r/what-is-the-future-of-chinas-belt-and-road-initiative/>

4. Man Hung Thomas Chan (2019): *The belt and road initiative – the new silk road: a research agenda*, *Journal of Contemporary East Asia Studies*, DOI: 10.1080/24761028.2019.1580407

5. *Silk Road to Belt Road Reinventing the Past and Shaping the Future - Md. Nazrul Islam* <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2998-2>

6. *The Belt and Road Initiative: China's New Grand Strategy?* Michael Clarke Published by National Bureau of Asian Research DOI: For additional information about this article Access provided by Tufts University (30 Jun 2018 18:21 GMT) <https://doi.org/10.1353/asp.2017.0023>

7. *The challenges and the opportunities of the Belt and Road initiative for participating countries: the case of Timor-Leste* Enrique Galan University of Lisbon

8. *The Invention of the Silk Road, 1877* Tamara Chin - University of Chicago Press

9. *The Silk Road* <https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/silk-road/>

10. *The Silk Road in World History: A Review Essay* Alfred J. ANDREA University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont USA Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12773/arwh.2014.2.1.105>

11. *Understanding China's Belt & Road Initiative: Motivation, framework and assessment* Yiping Huang National School of Development, Peking University

SUMMARY

This article explores the historical legacy of the Silk Road and its modern revival through China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). The Silk Road, an ancient network of trade routes connecting China, India, Central Asia, and Europe, was instrumental in facilitating the exchange of goods, cultures, and ideas. It shaped civilizations and laid the foundation for today's interconnected world. The decline of the Silk Road, influenced by political fragmentation, environmental challenges, and the rise of maritime trade routes, marked the end of an era. In contrast, the BRI, launched in 2013 by China, aims to revive these ancient connections through large-scale infrastructure projects, such as railways, ports,

and digital connectivity, spanning Asia, Europe, and Africa. The article compares the ancient Silk Road with the BRI, highlighting common goals of trade, cultural exchange, and regional integration, while emphasizing key differences in scale, technological advancements, and geopolitical implications. Finally, the article discusses the future of the BRI, examining its potential to reshape global trade, foster economic development, and bridge the East-West divide, while addressing challenges such as debt sustainability, geopolitical tensions, and environmental concerns.

Keywords: *China, Silk Road, Trade relations, Belt and Road, Eurasia, Geopolitical impacts.*

РЕЗЮМЕ

Статья исследует историческое наследие Шелкового пути и его современное возрождение через Инициативу пояса и пути (BRI) Китая. Шелковый путь, древняя сеть торговых маршрутов, соединявших Китай, Индию, Центральную Азию и Европу, сыграл ключевую роль в обмене товарами, культурами и идеями. Он сформировал цивилизации и стал основой для современного взаимосвязанного мира. Упадок Шелкового пути, вызванный политической фрагментацией, экологическими проблемами и ростом морских торговых маршрутов, ознаменовал конец этой эпохи. В отличие от этого, Инициатива пояса и пути, запущенная в 2013 году Китаем, направлена на возрождение этих древних связей через масштабные инфраструктурные проекты, такие как железные дороги, порты и цифровое подключение, охватывающие Азию, Европу и Африку. В статье проводится сравнение древнего Шелкового пути и BRI, подчеркиваются общие цели торговли, культурного обмена и региональной интеграции, а также ключевые различия в масштабах, технологических достижениях и геополитических последствиях. Наконец, рассматривается будущее BRI, анализируется его потенциал в изменении глобальной торговли, содействии экономическому развитию и преодолении раздела Востока и Запада, а также обсуждаются вызовы, такие как устойчивость долгов, геополитические напряженности и экологические проблемы.

Ключевые слова: *Китай, Шёлковый путь, Торговые связи, “Один пояс-один путь”, Евразия, Геополитические последствия.*

XÜLASƏ

Bu məqalə Tarixi İpək Yolunun mirasını və onun müasir dövrə Çin tərəfindən inkişaf etdirən Kəmərlər və Yol Təşəbbüsü (BRI) vasitəsilə yenidən canlandırılmasını araşdırır. İpək Yolu, Çin, Hindistan, Mərkəzi Asiya və Avropanı birləşdirən qədim ticarət yolları şəbəkəsi olub, mal, mədəniyyət və ideyaların mübadiləsində mühüm rol oynamışdır. Bu yol, mədəniyyətləri formalaşdırmış və bugünkü əlaqələndirilmiş dünyanın əsasını qoymuşdur. İpək Yolunun çökməsi, siyasi parçalanma, ekoloji problemlər və dəniz yolu ticarətinin yüksəlməsi nəticəsində baş vermişdir. Bunun əksinə olaraq, 2013-cü ildə Çin tərəfindən başlanan Kəmərlər və Yol Təşəbbüsü, bu qədim əlaqələri yenidən canlandırmaq məqsədi daşıyır və dəmir yolları, limanlar və rəqəmsal əlaqə kimi genişmiqyaslı infrastruktur layihələri ilə Asiya, Avropa və Afrika arasında əlaqə qurmağı hədəfləyir. Məqalədə, qədim İpək Yolu ilə BRI müqayisə edilir, ticarət, mədəniyyət mübadiləsi və regional inteqrasiya kimi ümumi məqsədlər vurğulanır, eyni zamanda ölçü, texnoloji inkişaf və geopolitik təsirlər baxımından əsas fərqlər qeyd olunur. Nəhayət, BRI-nin gələcəyi müzakirə olunur, onun global ticarəti yenidən formalaşdırma potensialı, iqtisadi inkişafı dəstəkləmə və Şərq-Qərb ayrımını aşma qabiliyyəti nəzərdən keçirilir, eyni zamanda borc davamlılığı, geopolitik gərginliklər və ətraf mühit problemləri kimi çətinliklər müzakirə olunur.

Açar sözlər: *Çin, İpək yolu, ticarət əlaqələri, “Bir kəmərlər, bir yol”, Avrasiya, geosiyasi təsirlər.*